

10th Priority Project List Nominee Matrix as determined at the May 4, 2000 meeting of the CWPPRA Planning and Evaluation Subcommittee

This chart contains the matrix of projects by basin in order of ranked strategies that list cost range, Coast 2050 criteria score, operation and maintenance needs, and issues which could effect the project such as oyster leases, pipelines, and real estate concerns.

Each project is scored according to six Coast 2050 criteria by the five federal agencies listed, the Louisiana Department of Natural Resources, and the Academic Advisory Group. Each agency score is multiplied by a relative weighting factor for criteria 1,3,4,5, and 6. Criteria score #2 is determined by multiplying the Ecosystem Influence Area and the Loss Rate Reduction scores. The weighting factors for the criteria are as follows:

1. Wetland Elevation-Sustainability, Relative Weighting Factor: 5 (max. points: 20)
2. Ecosystem Influence Area x Loss Rate Reduction (max. points: 20)
3. Structural Framework, Relative Weighting Factor: 4 (max. points: 16)
4. Infrastructure, Relative Weighting Factor: 4 (max. points: 16)
5. Organism and Materials Linkages, Relative Weighting Factor: 4 (max. points: 16)
6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives, Relative Weighting Factor: 3 (max. points: 12)

The highest and lowest scores for each criterion (in each row) are dropped, the remaining scores added, multiplied by the weighting factor, then averaged for the score at the end of each row. For each column, the scores are multiplied by the weighting factor, then added.

*H=High, L=Low, N=None

**O=Oyster, P=Pipeline, R=Real Estate

Basin	Strategy	Agency	Project	DNR	EPA	NRCS	NMFS	COE	FWS	AAG	Score	\$M Cost Range	O&M*	Issues**
PO	13	NRCS	East Orleans Land Bridge Sh. Stab. & Hydrologic Rest.											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	3	2	4	3	2	3	2	13.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	4	3	4	2	2	4	9	3.4			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	2	1	1	1	1	2	3				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	2	3	4	2	2	2	3				
			3. Structural Framework	4	3	4	4	3	3	3	13.6			
			4. Infrastructure	2	3	2	2	3	1	3	9.6			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	3	2	4	2	2	3	3	10.4			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	12.0			
			total	67	54	76	61	56	59	67	62.0	<2	H	
PO	13	NMFS	Backfill Canals in the New Orleans Land Bridge											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	3	3	2	3	2	3	2	13.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	2	6	2	2	2	6	3	3.0			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	2	2	1	2	1	2	1				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	1	3	2	1	2	3	3				
			3. Structural Framework	2	2	2	1	1	2	3	7.2			
			4. Infrastructure	3	3	1	1	1	1	2	6.4			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	3	4	0	4	4	4	3	14.4			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	3	4	4	4	4	2	11.4			
			total	61	66	36	53	48	61	51	55.4	5 - 10	N	R
PO	10/11	DNR	Shore Prot./Marsh Rest. in Lk. Borgne at Shell Beach											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	2	3	4	3	4	3	2	15.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	3	3	4	8	4	4	6	4.2			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	3	1	1	2	1	2	2				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	1	3	4	4	4	2	3				
			3. Structural Framework	4	2	4	4	3	2	3	12.8			
			4. Infrastructure	3	3	2	3	3	1	2	10.4			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	4	3	4	2	3	4	3	13.6			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	3	4	4	1	4	4	11.4			
			total	69	59	76	71	63	59	60	67.4	<2	H	O
PO	10/11	NRCS	North Shore Tangipahoa Shoreline Protection											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	3	3	4	3	4	3	2	16.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	8	3	4	4	4	6	6	4.8			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	4	1	1	1	1	2	3				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	2	3	4	4	4	3	2				
			3. Structural Framework	4	3	4	4	4	3	3	14.4			
			4. Infrastructure	2	1	2	2	1	1	2	6.4			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	3	3	4	1	3	3	3	12.0			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	3	4	4	1	4	4	11.4			
			total	71	55	76	59	59	61	60	65.0	2 - 5	H	

*H=High, L=Low, N=None

**O=Oyster, P=Pipeline, R=Real Estate

Basin	Strategy	Agency	Project	DNR	EPA	NRCS	NMFS	COE	FWS	AAG	Score	\$M Cost Range	O&M*	Issues**
PO	10/11	NMFS	Bonnet Carre Sediment Trap											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	3	4	1	4	4	4	3	18.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	4	8	1	8	8	6	6	6.4			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	2	2	1	2	2	2	2				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	2	4	1	4	4	3	3				
			3. Structural Framework	3	3	1	4	4	4	3	13.6			
			4. Infrastructure	3	3	1	4	3	2	2	10.4			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	4	3	4	4	3	4	3	14.4			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	12.0			
			total	71	73	42	88	80	78	65	74.8	10 - 20	H	
PO	10/11	DNR	Goose Point Dedicated Sediment Delivery											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	3	4	2	3	3	4	3	16.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	4	4	2	2	6	8	9	4.8			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	2	1	1	1	2	2	3				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	2	4	2	2	3	4	3				
			3. Structural Framework	3	3	2	2	2	1	3	9.6			
			4. Infrastructure	2	1	1	1	3	1	2	5.6			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	4	3	0	4	4	3	3	13.6			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	12.0			
			total	67	61	36	57	69	60	68	61.6	2 - 5	N	
PO	10/11	DNR	Shoreline Stab. of Lk. Pont. South of Pass Manchac											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	2	2	3	3	4	3	3	14.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	2	2	3	3	8	6	9	4.4			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	2	1	1	1	2	3	3				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	1	2	3	3	4	2	3				
			3. Structural Framework	4	2	4	3	4	3	3	13.6			
			4. Infrastructure	3	1	2	2	1	2	2	7.2			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	3	2	4	3	3	3	3	12.0			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	2	4	3	1	4	4	10.2			
			total	64	38	70	59	63	65	68	61.4	2 - 5	H	
PO	10/11	DNR	Flat Point Shoreline Stabilization, Hydrologic Restoration, and Dedicated Sediment Delivery											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	3	2	3	3	3	4	3	15.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	4	3	2	4	8	4	6	4.2			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	2	1	1	2	2	2	2				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	2	3	2	2	4	2	3				
			3. Structural Framework	2	3	1	3	2	1	2	8.0			
			4. Infrastructure	2	1	1	2	3	1	2	6.4			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	3	2	1	2	3	2	3	9.6			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	3	4	4	3	4	4	11.4			
			total	59	46	41	59	64	52	61	54.6	10 - 20	H	

*H=High, L=Low, N=None

**O=Oyster, P=Pipeline, R=Real Estate

Basin	Strategy	Agency	Project	DNR	EPA	NRCS	NMFS	COE	FWS	AAG	Score	\$M Cost Range	O&M*	Issues**
PO	12	NMFS	Southern Chandeleur Islands Vegetation Planting											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	3	3	1	3	2	2	3	13.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	1	4	1	2	4	2	6	2.6			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	1	2	1	2	2	2	2				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	1	2	1	1	2	1	3				
			3. Structural Framework	4	3	1	3	3	2	3	11.2			
			4. Infrastructure	3	1	1	2	1	1	4	6.4			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	16.0			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	12.0			
			total	72	63	42	65	58	52	77	61.2	5 - 10	N	
PO	12	NMFS	Beneficial Use of Dredged Material on Breton and Grand Gosier Islands											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	3	3	2	3	3	3	3	15.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	4	3	2	2	4	4	3	3.2			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	2	1	1	2	1	1	1				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	2	3	2	1	4	4	3				
			3. Structural Framework	3	3	2	3	3	4	3	12.0			
			4. Infrastructure	2	1	1	2	1	1	3	5.6			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	4	4	0	4	4	4	4	16.0			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	12.0			
			total	67	62	36	65	63	67	70	63.8	5 - 10	N	

*H=High, L=Low, N=None

**O=Oyster, P=Pipeline, R=Real Estate

Basin	Strategy	Agency	Project	DNR	EPA	NRCS	NMFS	COE	FWS	AAG	Score	\$M Cost Range	O&M*	Issues**	
BS	14	DNR	Conversion of Bayou Lamoque Structure to a Sediment Diversion												
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	20.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	10	8	6	12	16	10	9	9.8				
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	5	2	2	3	4	5	3					
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	2	4	3	4	4	2	3					
			3. Structural Framework	4	3	2	4	4	4	4	15.2				
			4. Infrastructure	4	2	1	2	3	2	2	8.8				
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	4	4	3	4	4	4	4	16.0				
6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	4	4	4	3	4	4	12.0							
			total	90	76	62	84	89	82	81	81.8	5 - 10	N	O	
BS	14	COE	Delta-building in Bonnies Bay												
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	4	4	0	4	4	4	4	20.0				
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	12	8	1	12	12	10	12	10.8				
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	4	2	1	3	3	5	3					
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	3	4	1	4	4	2	4					
			3. Structural Framework	4	3	0	4	4	4	4	15.2				
			4. Infrastructure	4	2	0	2	3	2	2	8.8				
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	4	4	3	4	4	4	4	16.0				
6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	12.0							
			total	92	76	25	84	88	82	84	82.8	2 - 5	N	O, P & R	
BS	14	DNR	Ostrica Lock Conversion												
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	2	3	1	4	3	2	3	13.0				
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	2	6	1	8	8	4	4	4.8				
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	2	2	1	2	2	4	2					
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	1	3	1	4	4	1	2					
			3. Structural Framework	1	3	1	4	1	1	2	6.4				
			4. Infrastructure	3	1	0	2	1	1	2	5.6				
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	4	4	3	4	4	3	3	14.4				
6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	3	4	4	4	4	2	4	11.4							
			total	53	65	34	80	59	40	59	55.6	<2	N	R	
BS	14	COE	Delta-building Diversion North of Fort St. Phillip												
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	20.0				
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	6	8	6	12	16	10	12	9.6				
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	3	2	2	3	4	5	3					
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	2	4	3	4	4	2	4					
			3. Structural Framework	3	3	2	4	4	4	4	14.4				
			4. Infrastructure	4	1	1	2	3	2	2	8.0				
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	4	4	3	4	4	4	4	16.0				
6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	12.0							
			total	82	72	62	84	92	82	84	80.0	2 - 5	N	O, P & R	

*H=High, L=Low, N=None

**O=Oyster, P=Pipeline, R=Real Estate

Basin	Strategy	Agency	Project	DNR	EPA	NRCS	NMFS	COE	FWS	AAG	Score	\$M Cost Range	O&M*	Issues**
BS	14	COE	Delta-building Diversion South of Fort St. Phillip											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	20.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	6	8	6	12	16	12	12	10.0			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	3	2	2	3	4	4	3				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	2	4	3	4	4	3	4				
			3. Structural Framework	3	3	2	4	4	3	4	13.6			
			4. Infrastructure	4	1	1	2	3	2	2	8.0			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	4	4	3	4	4	4	4	16.0			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	12.0			
			total	82	72	62	84	92	80	84	79.6	2 - 5	N	O, P & R
BS	8	COE	Bayou Lamoque Rehabilitation											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	2	3	4	4	4	2	3	16.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	2	3	6	8	16	3	6	5.2			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	2	1	2	2	4	3	2				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	1	3	3	4	4	1	3				
			3. Structural Framework	1	3	2	4	4	2	3	11.2			
			4. Infrastructure	3	1	2	2	3	1	2	8.0			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	4	4	3	4	4	3	3	14.4			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	3	4	4	4	3	2	4	10.8			
			total	53	62	66	80	89	43	65	65.6	<2	L	O
BS	8	FWS	Diversion and Delta Management at Fort St. Phillip											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	4	4	4	4	4	2	4	20.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	8	8	3	8	12	6	8	7.6			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	4	2	1	2	3	2	2				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	2	4	3	4	4	3	4				
			3. Structural Framework	3	3	3	4	4	1	4	13.6			
			4. Infrastructure	4	1	3	2	3	1	1	8.0			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	16.0			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	12.0			
			total	84	72	75	80	88	52	76	77.2	<2	N	O

*H=High, L=Low, N=None

**O=Oyster, P=Pipeline, R=Real Estate

Bsn	Strat	Agency	Project	DNR	EPA	NRCS	NMFS	COE	FWS	AAG	Score	\$M Cost Range	O&M*	Issues**
MR	12	COE	Benny's Bay 20,000 cfs Diversion (no outfall management)											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	20.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	10	8	8	8	16	8	12	9.2			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	5	2	2	2	4	4	3				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	2	4	4	4	4	2	4				
			3. Structural Framework	4	3	3	4	4	3	4	14.4			
			4. Infrastructure	1	1	0	2	4	3	1	6.4			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	16.0			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	12.0			
			total	78	72	68	80	96	80	80	78.0	5 - 10	N	P
MR	12	COE	Benny's Bay 50,000 cfs Diversion (no outfall management)											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	20.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	10	12	8	12	16	8	12	10.8			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	5	3	2	3	4	4	3				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	2	4	4	4	4	2	4				
			3. Structural Framework	4	3	3	4	4	3	4	14.4			
			4. Infrastructure	1	1	0	2	4	4	1	7.2			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	16.0			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	12.0			
			total	78	76	68	84	96	84	80	80.4	5 - 10	N	P
MR	12	COE	Benny's Bay 20,000 cfs Diversion (w/ outfall management)											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	20.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	10	8	8	12	16	8	12	10.0			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	5	2	2	3	4	4	3				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	2	4	4	4	4	2	4				
			3. Structural Framework	4	3	4	4	4	3	4	15.2			
			4. Infrastructure	1	1	0	2	4	3	1	6.4			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	16.0			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	12.0			
			total	78	72	72	84	96	80	80	79.6	5 - 10	L	P
MR	12	COE	Benny's Bay 50,000 cfs Diversion (w/ outfall management)											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	20.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	10	12	12	12	16	8	16	12.4			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	5	3	3	3	4	4	4				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	2	4	4	4	4	2	4				
			3. Structural Framework	4	3	4	4	4	3	4	15.2			
			4. Infrastructure	1	1	0	2	4	4	1	7.2			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	16.0			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	12.0			
			total	78	76	76	84	96	84	84	82.8	10 - 20	L	P

*H=High, L=Low, N=None

**O=Oyster, P=Pipeline, R=Real Estate

Bsn	Strat	Agen	Project	DNR	EPA	NRCS	NMFS	COE	FWS	AAG	Score	\$M Cost Range	O&M*	Issues**
BA	10	COE	Delta-Building Diversion at Myrtle Grove											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	4	3	2	4	4	4	4	19.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	15	9	5	16	15	15	20	14.0			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	5	3	5	4	5	5	5				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	3	3	1	4	3	3	4				
			3. Structural Framework	2	2	1	4	4	3	4	12.0			
			4. Infrastructure	4	1	1	4	4	4	3	12.8			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	16.0			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	12.0			
			total	87	60	51	96	95	91	96	85.8	20 - 50	H	P & R
BA	22	NMFS	Restore and Maintain the Barrier Shoreline from Quatre Bayou Pass to Grand Bayou											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	2	3	2	3	3	3	3	14.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	5	6	4	3	6	8	9	5.8			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	5	3	2	3	2	2	3				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	1	2	2	1	3	4	3				
			3. Structural Framework	4	4	3	3	3	4	4	14.4			
			4. Infrastructure	4	2	3	2	4	1	4	12.0			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	1	4	2	4	4	4	4	14.4			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	12.0			
			total	63	73	58	66	77	71	84	72.6	>100	N	
BA	22	NMFS	Restore and Maintain the Barrier Shoreline from Quatre Bayou Pass to Chalard Pass											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	2	3	2	3	3	3	3	14.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	8	4	2	3	6	8	9	5.8			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	4	2	1	3	2	2	3				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	2	2	2	1	3	4	3				
			3. Structural Framework	4	4	3	3	3	4	4	14.4			
			4. Infrastructure	4	2	3	2	4	1	4	12.0			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	2	4	2	4	4	4	4	14.4			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	12.0			
			total	70	71	56	66	77	71	84	72.6	>100	N	
BA	22	NMFS	Restore and Maintain the Barrier Shoreline from Quatre Bayou Pass to Pass La Mer											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	2	3	2	3	3	3	3	14.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	3	4	2	2	3	8	6	3.6			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	3	2	1	2	1	2	2				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	1	2	2	1	3	4	3				
			3. Structural Framework	4	4	3	3	3	4	4	14.4			
			4. Infrastructure	4	2	3	2	4	1	4	12.0			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	1	4	2	4	4	4	4	14.4			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	12.0			
			total	61	71	56	65	74	71	81	70.4	50 - 100	N	

*H=High, L=Low, N=None

**O=Oyster, P=Pipeline, R=Real Estate

Bsn	Strat	Agen	Project	DNR	EPA	NRCS	NMFS	COE	FWS	AAG	Score	\$M Cost Range	O&M*	Issues**	
BA	22	NMFS	Restore and Maintain the Barrier Shoreline from Quatre Bayou Pass to Pass Ronquille												
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	3	3	2	3	3	3	3	3	15.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	6	4	2	2	2	8	3	3.4				
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	3	2	1	2	1	2	1					
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	2	2	2	1	2	4	3					
			3. Structural Framework	4	4	3	3	3	4	4	14.4				
			4. Infrastructure	2	2	3	2	3	1	4	9.6				
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	3	4	2	4	4	4	4	15.2				
6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	12.0							
			total	69	71	56	65	69	71	78	69.6	10 - 20	N		
BA	22	NMFS	Restore Barrier Shoreline from Chalard Pass to Grand Bayou Pass												
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	3	3	2	3	3	3	3	3	15.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	4	4	2	2	6	8	6	4.4				
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	2	2	1	2	2	2	2					
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	2	2	2	1	3	4	3					
			3. Structural Framework	3	4	3	3	3	4	4	13.6				
			4. Infrastructure	2	2	3	2	3	1	2	8.8				
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	3	4	2	4	4	4	4	15.2				
6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	12.0							
			total	63	71	56	65	73	71	73	69.0	20 - 50	N		
BA	22	EPA	Placement of Ship Shoal Sand from Belle Pass to Bay Champagne												
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	2	3	2	3	3	3	3	3	14.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	1	2	1	2	2	8	4	2.2				
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	1	1	1	2	2	2	1					
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	1	2	1	1	1	4	4					
			3. Structural Framework	2	4	2	3	2	4	4	12.0				
			4. Infrastructure	3	3	1	3	4	2	4	12.0				
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	4	4	2	4	4	4	4	16.0				
6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	4	4	4	1	4	4	12.0							
			total	59	73	43	69	60	75	79	68.2	10 - 20	N		
BA	22	NMFS	Restore Barrier Shoreline from Grand Bayou Pass to the Empire/Gulf Waterway												
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	15.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	3	6	3	3	9	12	6	5.4				
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	3	3	3	3	3	3	2					
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	1	2	1	1	3	4	3					
			3. Structural Framework	4	4	2	3	3	4	4	14.4				
			4. Infrastructure	3	2	3	3	4	1	4	12.0				
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	16.0				
6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	12.0							
			total	70	73	66	70	80	75	81	74.8	>100	N		

*H=High, L=Low, N=None

**O=Oyster, P=Pipeline, R=Real Estate

Bsn	Strat	Agen	Project	DNR	EPA	NRCS	NMFS	COE	FWS	AAG	Score	\$M Cost Range	O&M*	Issues**	
BA	22	NMFS	Fontanelle Pass to Sandy Point Barrier Island/Shoreline Restoration												
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	15.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	2	4	2	2	6	8	3	3.4				
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	2	2	2	2	2	2	1					
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	1	2	1	1	3	4	3					
			3. Structural Framework	3	4	2	3	3	4	4	13.6				
			4. Infrastructure	3	2	3	3	4	1	4	12.0				
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	16.0				
6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	12.0							
			total	65	71	65	69	77	71	78	72.0	20 - 50	N		
BA	22	COE	Removal of Empire Jetties and Utilize Rock to Protect Pelican Island												
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	2	4	3	3	3	3	2	14.0				
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	2	2	4	1	3	3	2	2.4				
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	2	1	1	1	1	1	1					
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	1	2	4	1	3	3	2					
			3. Structural Framework	2	4	4	3	3	2	2	11.2				
			4. Infrastructure	1	1	2	3	0	1	2	5.6				
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	3	4	4	3	3	3	2	12.8				
6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	2	3	4	4	4	4	2	10.2							
			total	42	67	71	64	54	54	42	56.2	2 - 5	N		
BA	22	NMFS	Barataria-Plaquemines Shoreline Sand Fencing (Bell Pass to Sandy Point)												
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	1	2	2	4	4	3	3	14.0				
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	4	2	4	4	4	6	12	4.4				
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	4	2	2	2	2	2	4					
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	1	1	2	2	2	3	3					
			3. Structural Framework	1	3	3	4	3	4	3	12.8				
			4. Infrastructure	3	2	3	3	3	2	3	11.2				
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	4	4	2	4	4	4	4	16.0				
6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	2	3	4	4	4	4	4	11.4							
			total	47	57	58	80	76	73	79	69.8	2 - 5	H		
BA	22	EPA	Back Barrier Island Terracing												
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	4	2	1	3	3	4	3	15.0				
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	4	1	1	1	4	4	6	2.8				
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	4	1	1	1	2	1	2					
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	1	1	1	1	2	4	3					
			3. Structural Framework	2	2	1	2	3	4	3	9.6				
			4. Infrastructure	3	2	1	3	3	1	2	8.8				
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	16.0				
6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	3	4	4	3	4	3	10.8							
			total	72	52	42	64	68	72	66	63.0	<2	L	O	

*H=High, L=Low, N=None

**O=Oyster, P=Pipeline, R=Real Estate

Bsn	Strat	Agen	Project	DNR	EPA	NRCS	NMFS	COE	FWS	AAG	Score	\$M Cost Range	O&M*	Issues**
BA	8	FWS	Small Freshwater Diversion to the Northwestern Barataria Basin											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	4	3	3	4	2	3	3	16.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	6	2	4	3	2	3	9	3.6			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	3	1	2	1	2	1	3				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	2	2	2	3	1	3	3				
			3. Structural Framework	3	1	3	3	1	1	3	8.8			
			4. Infrastructure	3	1	3	2	1	3	3	9.6			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	16.0			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	12.0			
			total	78	46	71	71	48	62	76	66.0	5 - 10	H	R
BA	8	FWS	Small Freshwater Diversion to the Swamps North of Lac Des Allemands											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	4	3	3	4	2	3	4	17.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	8	2	4	3	2	3	4	3.2			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	4	1	2	1	2	1	1				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	2	2	2	3	1	3	4				
			3. Structural Framework	3	1	3	3	1	1	4	8.8			
			4. Infrastructure	3	2	3	2	1	3	3	10.4			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	16.0			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	12.0			
			total	80	50	71	71	48	62	80	67.4	5 - 10	H	R
BA	8	NRCS	Small Diversions to Swamps in the Northwestern Barataria Basin (Comprehensive project of the two projects above)											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	4	3	3	4	2	4	4	18.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	6	4	4	6	2	6	4	4.8			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	3	2	2	2	2	2	1				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	2	2	2	3	1	3	4				
			3. Structural Framework	3	1	3	3	1	1	4	8.8			
			4. Infrastructure	3	2	3	2	1	3	3	10.4			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	16.0			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	3	4	3	4	4	4	11.4			
			total	78	52	71	71	48	70	80	69.4	10 - 20	H	R
BA	8	COE	Bastian Bay Small Diversion											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	4	3	3	4	3	4	4	18.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	6	4	4	6	4	6	12	5.2			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	3	2	2	2	2	2	3				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	2	2	2	3	2	3	4				
			3. Structural Framework	4	3	3	3	1	1	4	11.2			
			4. Infrastructure	4	2	3	3	3	2	3	11.2			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	16.0			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	2	4	4	4	4	4	12.0			
			total	86	57	71	78	63	66	88	73.6	10 - 20	H	O, P & R

*H=High, L=Low, N=None

**O=Oyster, P=Pipeline, R=Real Estate

Bsn	Strat	Agen	Project	DNR	EPA	NRCS	NMFS	COE	FWS	AAG	Score	\$M Cost Range	O&M*	Issues**
BA	25/26	NRCS	North and South Extension of Barataria Landbridge Shoreline Protection Project											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	3	2	4	3	3	3	3	15.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	2	4	8	6	6	6	6	5.6			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	2	2	2	2	2	2	3				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	1	2	4	3	3	3	2				
			3. Structural Framework	4	3	4	2	4	3	3	13.6			
			4. Infrastructure	3	2	4	2	3	2	2	9.6			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	4	2	4	2	3	3	4	12.8			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	3	4	1	1	1	4	7.8			
			total	73	51	88	48	64	56	69	64.4	20 - 50	H	
BA	25/26	NRCS	North Extension of Barataria Landbridge Shoreline Protection											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	3	2	4	3	3	3	3	15.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	4	2	4	6	6	6	4	4.8			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	2	1	1	2	2	2	2				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	2	2	4	3	3	3	2				
			3. Structural Framework	4	3	4	2	4	4	3	14.4			
			4. Infrastructure	3	2	4	2	2	2	2	8.8			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	3	2	4	2	3	3	4	12.0			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	3	3	4	1	1	1	4	7.2			
			total	68	49	84	48	60	60	67	62.2	10 - 20	H	
BA	25/26	NRCS	South Extension of Barataria Landbridge Shoreline Protection Project											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	3	2	4	3	3	3	3	15.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	2	3	4	6	2	3	4	3.2			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	1	1	1	2	1	1	2				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	2	3	4	3	2	3	2				
			3. Structural Framework	4	3	4	2	4	4	3	14.4			
			4. Infrastructure	3	2	4	2	3	1	2	9.6			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	3	2	4	2	3	3	4	12.0			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	3	3	4	1	1	1	4	7.2			
			total	66	50	84	48	60	53	67	61.4	10 - 20	H	
BA	25/26	DNR	Bank Stabilization of the Southern Shoreline of the											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	4	2	3	3	3	3	2	14.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	4	6	4	2	3	8	4	4.2			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	2	2	1	2	1	2	2				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	2	3	4	1	3	4	2				
			3. Structural Framework	3	2	4	2	4	4	3	12.8			
			4. Infrastructure	2	2	2	2	1	1	2	7.2			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	2	2	4	2	3	3	2	9.6			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	3	4	1	1	1	3	7.2			
			total	64	49	71	44	53	58	51	55.0	5 - 10	H	

*H=High, L=Low, N=None

**O=Oyster, P=Pipeline, R=Real Estate

Bsn	Strat	Agen	Project	DNR	EPA	NRCS	NMFS	COE	FWS	AAG	Score	\$M Cost Range	O&M*	Issues**
BA	25/26	COE	Restrict Harvey Cut to "Appropriate" Depth											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	2	1	2	1	2	2	2	9.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	1	1	8	1	3	2	2	1.8			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	1	1	2	1	3	2	2				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	1	1	4	1	1	1	1				
			3. Structural Framework	2	2	4	1	1	0	3	7.2			
			4. Infrastructure	1	2	4	1	3	1	2	7.2			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	3	1	4	4	4	3	3	13.6			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	2	2	4	1	2	1	3	6.0			
			total	41	32	78	33	51	31	53	44.8	<2	L	
BA	25/26	COE	Restrict Bayou Perot South to "Appropriate" Depth											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	1	1	2	1	2	2	2	8.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	1	1	4	1	3	3	2	2.0			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	1	1	2	1	3	3	2				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	1	1	2	1	1	1	1				
			3. Structural Framework	2	2	4	1	1	0	3	7.2			
			4. Infrastructure	1	2	4	1	3	1	2	7.2			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	4	1	4	4	4	3	3	14.4			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	2	2	4	1	2	1	3	6.0			
			total	40	32	74	33	51	32	53	44.8	2 - 5	H	
BA	25/26	COE	Shoreline Protection on the SW Section of Lake Salvador from Bayou Des Allemands to Harvy Canal #2 (Critical Areas)											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	3	2	1	3	3	2	3	13.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	2	2	1	2	1	1	1	1.4			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	2	1	1	1	1	1	1				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	1	2	1	2	1	1	1				
			3. Structural Framework	3	2	0	2	4	0	4	8.8			
			4. Infrastructure	2	2	1	2	1	1	1	5.6			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	3	3	4	2	3	3	3	12.0			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	3	2	4	1	4	4	4	10.2			
			total	58	46	38	44	60	39	60	51.0	<2	H	
BA	25/26	NRCS	Lake Rim Restoration of Little Lake - Breton Canal to Plum Point											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	3	2	4	3	3	3	3	15.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	2	4	8	2	4	6	8	4.8			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	2	2	2	1	2	2	2				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	1	2	4	2	2	3	4				
			3. Structural Framework	4	3	4	2	4	4	3	14.4			
			4. Infrastructure	2	2	4	2	1	1	1	6.4			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	3	2	4	2	3	3	2	10.4			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	3	2	4	1	1	1	2	5.4			
			total	62	48	88	44	54	56	53	56.4	10 - 20	H	

*H=High, L=Low, N=None

**O=Oyster, P=Pipeline, R=Real Estate

Bsn	Strat	Agen	Project	DNR	EPA	NRCS	NMFS	COE	FWS	AAG	Score	\$M Cost Range	O&M*	Issues**
BA	25/26	NRCS	North Extension of Barataria Landbridge Shoreline Protection Project in Bayou Perot											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	3	2	4	3	4	3	2	15.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	4	4	4	6	8	8	6	5.6			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	2	2	2	2	2	2	3				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	2	2	2	3	4	4	2				
			3. Structural Framework	4	3	4	2	4	4	3	14.4			
			4. Infrastructure	2	2	4	2	3	2	2	8.8			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	3	2	4	2	3	3	2	10.4			
6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	3	3	4	1	1	1	2	6.0						
			total	64	51	84	48	71	62	50	60.2	10 - 20	H	
BA	25/26	NRCS	South Lake Salvador Marsh Creation											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	20.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	4	6	8	3	8	8	8	6.8			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	2	2	2	1	2	2	2				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	2	3	4	3	4	4	4				
			3. Structural Framework	4	3	4	2	4	4	3	14.4			
			4. Infrastructure	3	2	4	2	4	3	2	11.2			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	2	1	4	3	3	4	3	12.0			
6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	3	2	4	1	4	4	4	10.2						
			total	69	51	88	54	84	84	72	74.6	20 - 50	H	
BA	25/26	DNR	Bayou Maurice Marsh and Estuary Remediation											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	2	1	0	2	3	4	3	11.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	1	1	1	1	2	4	3	1.6			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	1	1	1	1	1	1	1				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	1	1	1	1	2	4	3				
			3. Structural Framework	1	0	0	2	1	1	3	4.0			
			4. Infrastructure	1	2	0	1	1	1	1	4.0			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	4	1	4	3	4	4	4	15.2			
6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	3	2	1	1	1	1	3	4.8						
			total	44	24	20	38	44	51	59	40.6	<2	N	
BA	25/26	NRCS	Marsh Creation between Little Lake and Bayou L'Ours											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	3	3	3	4	3	4	3	16.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	4	6	4	3	6	8	6	5.2			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	2	2	2	1	2	2	2				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	2	3	2	3	3	4	3				
			3. Structural Framework	3	2	2	2	1	0	3	8.0			
			4. Infrastructure	2	1	1	2	1	1	1	4.8			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	3	3	0	3	4	4	4	13.6			
6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	3	3	4	1	1	1	2	6.0						
			total	60	54	43	54	48	51	59	53.6	10 - 20	N	

*H=High, L=Low, N=None

**O=Oyster, P=Pipeline, R=Real Estate

Bsn	Strat	Agen	Project	DNR	EPA	NRCS	NMFS	COE	FWS	AAG	Score	\$M Cost Range	O&M*	Issues**
BA	25/26	COE	Reconnect Bayou Perot/Rigolette Peninsula to Mainland in Vicinity of Jonathan Davis											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	4	3	2	3	3	4	3	16.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	6	4	4	2	6	8	9	5.6			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	3	2	2	2	2	2	3				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	2	2	2	1	3	4	3				
			3. Structural Framework	3	3	1	4	3	4	3	12.8			
			4. Infrastructure	2	2	1	2	3	1	3	8.0			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	3	3	0	4	4	4	3	13.6			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	3	4	1	1	1	3	7.2			
			total	70	60	34	60	64	67	69	63.2	20 - 50	N	
BA	25/26	COE	Marsh Creation Southeast of Bayou Rigolettes											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	3	3	3	3	3	4	3	15.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	4	4	4	2	6	8	8	5.2			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	2	2	2	2	2	2	2				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	2	2	2	1	3	4	4				
			3. Structural Framework	3	3	2	2	1	1	3	8.8			
			4. Infrastructure	2	2	3	2	2	1	1	7.2			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	3	3	0	4	4	4	4	14.4			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	3	3	4	1	1	1	3	6.6			
			total	60	60	51	52	52	55	64	57.2	2 - 5	N	
BA	25/26	DNR	Dedicated Dredging in Association with Shoreline Protection in the Pen											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	4	3	3	3	3	4	3	16.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	4	6	8	2	6	8	8	6.4			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	2	2	2	2	2	2	2				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	2	3	4	1	3	4	4				
			3. Structural Framework	3	2	4	2	1	4	3	11.2			
			4. Infrastructure	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	6.4			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	3	2	4	4	4	3	4	14.4			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	3	4	1	1	1	4	7.8			
			total	68	54	75	52	48	63	67	62.2	5 - 10	N	

*H=High, L=Low, N=None

**O=Oyster, P=Pipeline, R=Real Estate

Bsn	Strat	Agen	Project	DNR	EPA	NRCS	NMFS	COE	FWS	AAG	Score	\$M Cost Range	O&M*	Issues**
TE	5	NRCS	Minors Canal Enlargement for Water Conveyance											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	2	1	3	3	2	2	2	11.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	4	2	3	6	3	4	3	3.4			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	4	2	3	3	3	4	3				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	1	1	1	2	1	1	1				
			3. Structural Framework	1	1	1	1	2	1	2	4.8			
			4. Infrastructure	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	4.0			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	4	3	4	3	4	4	4	15.2			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	2	2	4	4	3	2	4	9.0			
			total	44	33	54	53	54	44	53	47.4	2 - 5	N	
TE	5	FWS	Increase Eastward Atchafalaya Flow in the GIWW											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	3	1	0	3	2	2	3	11.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	1	1	1	6	8	2	4	2.8			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	1	1	1	3	2	2	2				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	1	1	1	2	4	1	2				
			3. Structural Framework	3	0	0	1	2	1	2	4.8			
			4. Infrastructure	3	0	1	1	1	1	2	4.8			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	16.0			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	3	2	4	4	4	2	4	10.2			
			total	65	28	33	57	58	42	63	49.6	10 - 20	N	
TE	5	NRCS	Outfall Management North of Twin Pipeline Canal (Bayou Pointe au Chein East to Bayou Lafourche)											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	2	1	3	2	2	2	1	9.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	8	2	4	4	6	3	3	4.0			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	4	2	4	4	3	3	3				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	2	1	1	1	2	1	1				
			3. Structural Framework	3	1	4	4	4	1	2	11.2			
			4. Infrastructure	4	1	4	3	3	1	2	10.4			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	2	1	4	2	3	3	3	10.4			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	2	4	1	1	2	4	7.8			
			total	66	25	79	53	59	39	48	52.8	2 - 5	H	P
TE	5	NRCS	Freshwater Diversion from Bayou Terrebonne											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	2	2	3	2	2	1	2	10.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	2	2	2	3	6	3	3	2.6			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	2	2	2	3	3	3	3				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	1	1	1	1	2	1	1				
			3. Structural Framework	3	1	4	1	1	2	2	7.2			
			4. Infrastructure	3	1	3	1	3	1	3	8.8			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	3	2	4	3	3	2	3	11.2			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	3	2	4	1	2	2	3	7.2			
			total	57	34	73	36	50	34	54	47.0	2 - 5	H	P

*H=High, L=Low, N=None

**O=Oyster, P=Pipeline, R=Real Estate

Bsn	Strat	Agen	Project	DNR	EPA	NRCS	NMFS	COE	FWS	AAG	Score	\$M Cost Range	O&M*	Issues**
TE	5	FWS	Bay Courant Hydrologic Restoration											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	2	2	3	2	2	2	2	10.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	3	3	4	3	6	3	3	3.2			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	3	3	4	3	3	3	3				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	1	1	1	1	2	1	1				
			3. Structural Framework	3	2	3	4	1	2	2	9.6			
			4. Infrastructure	3	1	2	1	3	1	2	7.2			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	3	3	4	4	3	4	4	14.4			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	3	4	1	1	2	2	7.2			
			total	61	46	67	52	47	47	51	51.6	2 - 5	H	
TE	5	FWS	Bayou Terrebonne Bank Restoration											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	3	2	4	2	2	2	2	11.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	4	2	6	2	4	4	2	3.2			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	2	2	2	2	2	2	2				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	2	1	3	1	2	2	1				
			3. Structural Framework	3	3	4	4	4	1	2	12.8			
			4. Infrastructure	3	1	4	1	3	2	3	9.6			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	2	2	4	4	3	4	3	12.8			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	2	4	2	1	2	2	7.2			
			total	63	42	86	54	57	48	50	56.6	5 - 10	H	
TE	5	FWS	Bayou Blue Hydrologic Restoration											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	2	3	2	4	3	3	2	13.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	3	4	2	3	9	4	4	3.6			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	3	2	2	3	3	2	2				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	1	2	1	1	3	2	2				
			3. Structural Framework	3	2	1	4	3	2	2	9.6			
			4. Infrastructure	3	1	1	1	4	4	2	8.8			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	2	3	1	4	3	4	3	12.0			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	3	4	3	1	2	3	9.0			
			total	57	52	36	68	67	65	51	56.0	10 - 20	H	
TE	5	COE	Restriction of Grand Pass (Sister Lake/Lake Mechant)											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	3	1	4	1	2	2	1	9.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	2	1	16	1	4	4	2	2.6			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	2	1	4	1	2	4	2				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	1	1	4	1	2	1	1				
			3. Structural Framework	3	2	4	2	4	2	1	10.4			
			4. Infrastructure	1	1	2	1	2	1	1	4.8			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	2	3	4	3	3	2	2	10.4			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	1	4	4	4	2	4	10.8			
			total	53	33	88	42	62	40	35	48.0	2 - 5	H	

*H=High, L=Low, N=None

**O=Oyster, P=Pipeline, R=Real Estate

Bsn	Strat	Agen	Project	DNR	EPA	NRCS	NMFS	COE	FWS	AAG	Score	\$M Cost Range	O&M*	Issues**
TE	12	NRCS	Phase II - Racoon Island Breakwaters and North Shore Marsh Creation											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	3	3	4	3	3	3	3	15.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	3	4	4	2	4	4	2	3.4			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	3	2	1	1	1	1	1				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	1	2	4	2	4	4	2				
			3. Structural Framework	3	4	4	4	3	3	3	13.6			
			4. Infrastructure	3	2	2	1	1	1	1	5.6			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	3	3	3	3	4	4	3	12.8			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	12.0			
			total	66	67	72	61	63	63	54	62.4	10 - 20	N	
TE	12	EPA	Isles Dernieres Restoration - Whiskey Island West Flank											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	3	3	1	3	3	4	4	16.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	3	6	1	2	6	6	4	4.2			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	3	2	1	1	2	2	2				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	1	3	1	2	3	3	2				
			3. Structural Framework	3	4	2	4	3	4	4	14.4			
			4. Infrastructure	3	2	1	2	3	2	2	8.8			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	4	4	1	2	4	4	3	13.6			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	12.0			
			total	70	73	34	61	73	78	72	69.0	10 - 20	N	
TE	12	EPA	Isles Dernieres Restoration - Whiskey Island - Closure of Whiskey Pass											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	3	3	1	3	3	4	4	16.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	3	6	1	2	6	6	4	4.2			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	3	2	1	1	2	3	2				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	1	3	1	2	3	2	2				
			3. Structural Framework	3	4	0	4	3	4	4	14.4			
			4. Infrastructure	3	2	1	2	3	2	2	8.8			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	3	4	1	2	3	4	3	12.0			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	12.0			
			total	66	73	26	61	69	78	72	67.4	20 - 50	N	
TE	12	NMFS	East Timbalier Island Restoration Phase III/Ship Shoal Option											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	3	3	1	3	3	3	4	15.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	3	6	1	2	6	3	2	3.2			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	3	2	1	1	2	1	1				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	1	3	1	2	3	3	2				
			3. Structural Framework	3	4	2	3	3	3	4	12.8			
			4. Infrastructure	3	2	1	4	4	2	2	10.4			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	3	3	1	4	4	3	3	12.8			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	12.0			
			total	66	69	34	73	77	62	70	66.2	20 - 50	N	

*H=High, L=Low, N=None

**O=Oyster, P=Pipeline, R=Real Estate

Bsn	Strat	Agen	Project	DNR	EPA	NRCS	NMFS	COE	FWS	AAG	Score	\$M Cost Range	O&M*	Issues**
TE	12	EPA	Recreation and Restoration of Interior Barrier Islands - Casse Tete Island, Calumet Island and Bush Island											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	3	3	1	3	3	3	4	15.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	6	4	1	2	6	2	4	3.6			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	3	2	1	2	2	1	2				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	2	2	1	1	3	2	2				
			3. Structural Framework	3	4	2	4	3	2	4	12.8			
			4. Infrastructure	3	2	1	1	4	2	1	7.2			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	3	4	1	4	3	4	3	13.6			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	12.0			
			total	69	71	34	65	73	61	68	64.2	5 - 10	N	
TE	12	EPA	Timbalier Island Restoration - Canal Closures											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	2	3	1	3	3	3	3	14.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	2	2	1	2	6	3	2	2.2			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	2	1	1	1	2	1	1				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	1	2	1	2	3	3	2				
			3. Structural Framework	3	3	2	4	3	3	3	12.0			
			4. Infrastructure	3	1	1	4	4	1	3	9.6			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	4	4	1	3	4	3	3	13.6			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	12.0			
			total	64	61	34	73	77	58	65	63.4	10 - 20	N	
TE	12	NMFS	East Timbalier Island Restoration Phase III/Nearshore Sand Source											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	4	3	4	3	3	3	3	16.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	3	4	4	2	6	3	2	3.2			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	3	2	1	1	2	1	1				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	1	2	4	2	3	3	2				
			3. Structural Framework	4	4	4	3	3	3	3	13.6			
			4. Infrastructure	3	2	2	4	4	2	2	10.4			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	3	3	3	4	4	3	3	12.8			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	12.0			
			total	75	67	72	73	77	62	61	68.0	10 - 20	N	
TE	12	COE	Terminal Groin - West End of Timbalier Island											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	3	2	4	3	3	3	2	14.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	2	4	4	2	4	3	1	3.0			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	2	2	1	1	2	1	1				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	1	2	4	2	2	3	1				
			3. Structural Framework	2	3	4	3	3	3	1	11.2			
			4. Infrastructure	3	2	2	3	4	2	1	9.6			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	3	2	3	1	4	4	3	12.0			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	4	4	4	4	4	2	12.0			
			total	61	54	72	57	75	66	37	61.8	<2	N	

*H=High, L=Low, N=None

**O=Oyster, P=Pipeline, R=Real Estate

Bsn	Strat	Agen	Project	DNR	EPA	NRCS	NMFS	COE	FWS	AAG	Score	\$M Cost Range	O&M*	Issues**
TE	12	COE	Terminal Groin - West End of Racoon Island											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	3	2	4	3	3	3	2	14.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	2	4	4	2	4	3	1	3.0			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	2	2	1	1	2	1	1				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	1	2	4	2	2	3	1				
			3. Structural Framework	2	3	4	4	3	3	1	12.0			
			4. Infrastructure	3	2	2	1	1	2	1	6.4			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	3	2	3	1	4	4	3	12.0			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	4	4	4	4	4	2	12.0			
			total	61	54	72	53	63	66	37	59.4	<2	N	
TE	7	FWS	North HNC Shore/Salinity Protection Project											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	3	2	4	2	2	2	2	11.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	4	6	8	2	4	6	2	4.4			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	2	2	2	2	2	2	2				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	2	3	4	1	2	3	1				
			3. Structural Framework	4	2	4	3	4	1	2	12.0			
			4. Infrastructure	3	1	4	3	1	1	3	8.8			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	3	2	4	3	3	3	2	11.2			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	3	2	4	4	3	4	3	10.2			
			total	68	42	88	60	55	48	49	57.6	20 - 50	H	
TE	7	COE	South HNC Bank Protection below Falgout Canal											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	2	2	4	2	3	2	1	11.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	2	3	8	1	4	6	1	3.2			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	1	1	2	1	2	2	1				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	2	3	4	1	2	3	1				
			3. Structural Framework	4	2	4	4	4	1	2	12.8			
			4. Infrastructure	3	1	4	4	3	1	2	10.4			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	3	2	4	3	3	3	2	11.2			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	3	2	4	4	1	4	2	9.0			
			total	61	39	88	67	62	48	36	57.6	5 - 10	H	
TE	7	FWS	Avoca Island Cutoff Channel Bank Restoration											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	3	2	4	2	3	4	3	15.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	1	2	4	4	3	4	1	2.8			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	1	1	1	1	1	1	1				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	1	2	4	4	3	4	1				
			3. Structural Framework	3	2	4	4	4	1	3	12.8			
			4. Infrastructure	3	1	4	1	1	1	1	5.6			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	4	2	4	4	3	2	2	12.0			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	3	2	4	4	4	4	3	10.8			
			total	65	38	84	62	62	52	49	59.0	5 - 10	H	

*H=High, L=Low, N=None

**O=Oyster, P=Pipeline, R=Real Estate

Bsn	Strat	Agen	Project	DNR	EPA	NRCS	NMFS	COE	FWS	AAG	Score	\$M Cost Range	O&M*	Issues**
TE	7	NRCS	GIWW Bank Restoration of Critical Areas in Terrebonne											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	3	2	4	2	2	3	4	14.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	6	4	16	8	4	9	6	6.6			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	3	2	4	4	4	3	3				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	2	2	4	2	1	3	2				
			3. Structural Framework	4	2	4	4	4	1	3	13.6			
			4. Infrastructure	3	1	2	3	3	1	3	9.6			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	3	2	4	3	3	3	3	12.0			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	3	2	4	4	4	4	3	10.8			
			total	70	40	88	70	66	56	71	66.6	50 - 100	H	
TE	8	EPA	Interior Barrier - Marsh Platform Re-creation in Lake Felicity Area											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	3	3	1	3	3	3	4	15.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	4	3	2	3	4	3	4	3.4			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	2	1	2	1	1	1	2				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	2	3	1	3	4	3	2				
			3. Structural Framework	3	2	1	4	2	3	3	10.4			
			4. Infrastructure	3	1	1	1	2	1	1	4.8			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	3	4	1	4	3	4	3	13.6			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	3	3	4	1	1	2	4	7.8			
			total	64	55	31	57	50	56	64	55.0	5 - 10	H	
TE	8	FWS	North Lake Mechant Landbridge Restoration											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	3	3	4	4	3	3	3	16.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	9	6	9	8	6	9	4	7.6			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	3	2	3	2	3	3	2				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	3	3	3	4	2	3	2				
			3. Structural Framework	4	2	4	4	3	3	3	13.6			
			4. Infrastructure	2	1	4	1	2	2	1	6.4			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	3	3	2	3	3	4	3	12.0			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	3	3	4	2	1	4	3	9.0			
			total	69	54	81	66	56	72	56	64.6	10 - 20	H	
TE	8	NMFS	Lake Chapeau Marsh Creation											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	3	3	3	4	3	3	3	15.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	2	6	6	8	8	6	4	6.0			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	2	2	2	24	2	2	2				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	1	3	3	2	4	3	2				
			3. Structural Framework	3	1	2	3	1	2	2	8.0			
			4. Infrastructure	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	4.0			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	4	4	0	3	4	4	3	14.4			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	3	4	1	4	4	3	10.8			
			total	61	54	45	59	59	61	52	58.2	10 - 20	N	

*H=High, L=Low, N=None

**O=Oyster, P=Pipeline, R=Real Estate

Basin	Strategy	Agency	Project	DNR	EPA	NRCS	NMFS	COE	FWS	AAG	Score	\$M Cost Range	O&M*	Issues**
AT	2	FWS	Atchafalaya Delta Comprehensive Development Project											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	4	4	1	4	4	3	4	19.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	4	4	1	12	16	12	12	8.8			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	4	4	1	4	4	4	4				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	1	1	1	3	4	3	3				
			3. Structural Framework	4	3	0	4	4	3	4	14.4			
			4. Infrastructure	4	1	1	4	3	2	4	11.2			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	4	4	4	3	4	4	3	15.2			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	12.0			
			total	84	68	38	88	92	75	88	80.6	50 - 100	H	P
AT	2	NMFS	Shell Island Pass Marsh Creation											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	4	4	2	4	4	3	4	19.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	2	6	4	8	8	12	6	6.4			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	2	2	2	2	2	3	2				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	1	3	2	4	4	4	3				
			3. Structural Framework	4	3	2	4	1	1	4	11.2			
			4. Infrastructure	3	1	1	4	3	2	2	8.8			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	4	4	0	3	4	4	3	14.4			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	12.0			
			total	78	70	38	84	72	67	74	71.8	10 - 20	N	
AT	2	COE	Point Au Fer Reef Restoration											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	2	2	1	2	2	4	1	9.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	4	3	1	2	2	16	2	2.6			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	2	3	1	1	2	4	2				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	2	1	1	2	1	4	1				
			3. Structural Framework	4	2	1	3	4	4	1	11.2			
			4. Infrastructure	3	1	1	3	1	3	1	7.2			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	4	4	4	3	3	4	3	14.4			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	4	4	3	1	4	2	10.2			
			total	70	53	42	57	47	92	33	54.6	10 - 20	N	

*H=High, L=Low, N=None

**O=Oyster, P=Pipeline, R=Real Estate

Basin	Strategy	Agency	Project	DNR	EPA	NRCS	NMFS	COE	FWS	AAG	Score	\$M Cost Range	O&M*	Issues**
T/V	13	NRCS	Avery/Weeks Bankline Stabilization and Hydrologic Restoration											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	3	2	4	1	1	2	1	9.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	2	2	2	3	2	2	2	2.0			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	2	1	2	3	2	1	2				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	1	2	1	1	1	1	1				
			3. Structural Framework	3	1	4	2	4	0	1	8.8			
			4. Infrastructure	1	1	4	1	4	1	0	6.4			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	3	2	4	2	2	2	2	8.8			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	3	2	4	1	4	4	4	10.2			
			total	54	34	82	31	59	36	31	45.2	10 - 20	H	
T/V	13	NMFS	Restriction of GIWW Openings into Vermilion Bay											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	2	2	4	1	2	2	1	9.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	3	4	3	4	3	3	2	3.2			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	3	2	3	4	3	1	2				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	1	2	1	1	1	1	1				
			3. Structural Framework	1	1	4	2	4	0	0	6.4			
			4. Infrastructure	3	1	4	1	1	1	0	5.6			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	3	2	4	2	3	3	1	10.4			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	2	4	1	3	4	4	10.2			
			total	53	36	83	32	54	41	23	44.8	2 - 5	H	
T/V	7	COE	Stabilize East Bank of Freshwater Bayou from GIWW to Six-Mile Canal											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	3	2	4	2	2	4	2	13.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	2	2	8	4	2	4	6	3.6			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	2	1	2	1	2	2	2				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	1	2	4	4	1	2	3				
			3. Structural Framework	4	1	4	2	4	1	1	9.6			
			4. Infrastructure	2	1	4	3	4	1	1	8.8			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	2	2	4	1	3	3	2	9.6			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	3	2	4	4	3	4	4	10.8			
			total	58	34	88	50	65	56	44	55.4	10 - 20	H	
T/V	10	NRCS	Stabilize Reef in Southwest Pass											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	2	1	3	1	1	2	1	7.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	2	1	6	1	1	4	1	1.8			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	2	1	2	1	2	1	1				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	1	1	3	1	1	4	1				
			3. Structural Framework	3	2	3	4	4	1	4	12.8			
			4. Infrastructure	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	4.0			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	3	4	4	3	4	4	4	15.2			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	2	4	2	4	4	4	10.8			
			total	52	40	69	44	54	50	54	51.6	10 - 20	N	

*H=High, L=Low, N=None

**O=Oyster, P=Pipeline, R=Real Estate

Basin	Strategy	Agency	Project	DNR	EPA	NRCS	NMFS	COE	FWS	AAG	Score	\$M Cost Range	O&M*	Issues**
T/V	10	DNR	Shoreline Protection - Yellow Bayou to Point Chevreuil											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	3	3	4	3	2	4	4	17.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	2	3	8	2	4	4	4	3.4			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	2	1	2	1	2	1	1				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	1	3	4	2	2	4	4				
			3. Structural Framework	4	3	4	4	4	4	3	15.2			
			4. Infrastructure	2	2	4	3	4	4	3	12.8			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	2	2	4	3	3	3	2	10.4			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	3	3	4	2	4	4	4	10.8			
			total	58	55	88	63	70	80	68	69.6	10 - 20	H	
T/V	10	NRCS	Cypremort Point Reef Re-establishment (Point Misere)											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	3	3	3	3	2	2	3	14.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	2	3	6	4	3	2	2	2.8			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	2	1	2	1	1	1	1				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	1	3	3	4	3	2	2				
			3. Structural Framework	4	2	3	3	4	1	3	12.0			
			4. Infrastructure	3	2	3	4	4	1	3	12.0			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	2	3	4	4	3	4	4	14.4			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	3	4	1	1	4	4	9.6			
			total	65	55	73	66	60	48	69	64.8	5 - 10	N	
T/V	10	DNR	Shoreline Protection Cheniere au Tigre to Southwest Pass											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	4	3	3	3	3	4	3	16.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	6	3	4	2	4	8	1	3.8			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	3	1	1	1	1	2	1				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	2	3	4	2	4	4	1				
			3. Structural Framework	4	3	4	3	4	4	2	14.4			
			4. Infrastructure	4	1	2	1	2	1	1	5.6			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	2	3	4	3	3	3	3	12.0			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	12.0			
			total	78	55	71	57	67	72	52	63.8	20 - 50	H	

*H=High, L=Low, N=None

**O=Oyster, P=Pipeline, R=Real Estate

Basin	Strategy	Agency	Project	DNR	EPA	NRCS	NMFS	COE	FWS	AAG	Score	\$M Cost Range	O&M*	Issues**	
ME	4	NRCS	Freshwater Introduction toward North Prong and Saltwater Intrusion Prevention Modifications												
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	2	2	4	2	2	3	2	11.0				
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	4	2	12	3	4	6	1	3.8				
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	2	1	4	1	2	3	1					
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	2	2	3	3	2	2	1					
			3. Structural Framework	3	1	3	2	1	2	2	8.0				
			4. Infrastructure	2	1	4	3	3	2	1	8.8				
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	2	3	4	1	2	2	1	8.0				
6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	2	2	4	4	2	3	4	9.0							
			total	48	38	88	49	44	54	39	48.6	5 - 10	H		
ME	4	NRCS	North Beach Prong Outfall Management												
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	3	1	4	1	1	2	2	9.0				
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	4	2	3	2	3	2	2	2.4				
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	2	2	3	2	3	2	2					
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	2	1	1	1	1	1	1					
			3. Structural Framework	3	1	4	1	3	1	1	7.2				
			4. Infrastructure	2	1	2	1	3	1	3	7.2				
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	3	1	4	2	2	1	2	8.0				
6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	1	4	1	1	4	4	8.4							
			total	63	22	75	26	43	36	48	42.2	2 - 5	H		
ME	4	NMFS	Pecan Island Freshwater Introduction Enlargement												
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	3	3	3	2	3	4	3	15.0				
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	4	9	2	1	6	4	2	3.6				
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	2	3	2	1	3	2	1					
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	2	3	1	1	2	2	2					
			3. Structural Framework	3	1	0	1	1	3	1	5.6				
			4. Infrastructure	3	1	1	1	3	4	3	8.8				
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	16.0				
6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	4	4	1	1	4	4	10.2							
			total	67	60	49	38	56	80	61	59.2	2 - 5	H		
ME	4	FWS	Rollover Bayou Freshwater Introduction												
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	3	3	3	3	2	4	3	15.0				
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	6	9	2	1	4	4	2	3.6				
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	3	3	2	1	2	2	2					
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	2	3	1	1	2	2	1					
			3. Structural Framework	3	1	0	1	1	3	1	5.6				
			4. Infrastructure	3	1	1	3	3	4	3	10.4				
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	3	4	4	4	3	4	4	15.2				
6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	4	4	4	1	4	4	12.0							
			total	69	60	49	60	45	80	61	61.8	<2	L		

*H=High, L=Low, N=None

**O=Oyster, P=Pipeline, R=Real Estate

Basin	Strategy	Agency	Project	DNR	EPA	NRCS	NMFS	COE	FWS	AAG	Score	\$M Cost Range	O&M*	Issues**
ME	14/20	FWS	Grand-White Lake Landbridge Protection Project											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	3	3	4	3	3	4	4	17.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	6	3	8	3	4	4	8	5.0			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	2	1	2	1	2	2	2				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	3	3	4	3	2	2	4				
			3. Structural Framework	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	16.0			
			4. Infrastructure	4	1	2	1	1	2	1	5.6			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	3	3	4	3	3	4	3	12.8			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	12.0			
			total	73	59	80	62	63	76	72	68.4	2 - 5	H	
ME	14/20	DNR	Southwest White Lake Shoreline Protection from Deep Lake to the Landbridge (Rock only)											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	3	2	4	2	2	4	3	14.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	6	3	4	3	8	4	4	4.2			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	2	1	1	1	2	1	1				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	3	3	4	3	4	4	4				
			3. Structural Framework	4	4	4	4	4	2	4	16.0			
			4. Infrastructure	3	1	2	1	1	2	1	5.6			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	2	2	4	3	3	2	2	9.6			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	3	4	4	4	3	4	11.4			
			total	69	50	76	57	62	57	59	60.8	5 - 10	H	
ME	14/20	DNR	Southwest White Lake Shoreline Protection from Deep Lake to the Landbridge (Rock and Plantings)											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	4	3	4	3	3	4	3	17.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	6	3	4	3	8	4	4	4.2			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	2	1	1	1	2	1	1				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	3	3	4	3	4	4	4				
			3. Structural Framework	4	4	4	4	4	2	4	16.0			
			4. Infrastructure	3	1	2	1	1	2	1	5.6			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	2	3	4	3	3	2	2	10.4			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	3	4	4	4	3	4	11.4			
			total	74	59	76	62	67	57	59	64.6	5 - 10	H	
ME	14/20	DNR	Southwest White Lake Shoreline Protection from Deep Lake to the Landbridge (Rock and Marsh Creation)											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	4	3	4	3	3	4	4	18.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	6	4	4	4	8	4	4	4.4			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	2	1	1	1	2	1	1				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	3	4	4	4	4	4	4				
			3. Structural Framework	4	4	4	4	4	2	4	16.0			
			4. Infrastructure	3	1	2	1	1	2	1	5.6			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	2	2	4	3	3	2	2	9.6			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	12.0			
			total	74	56	76	63	67	60	64	65.6	5 - 10	H	

*H=High, L=Low, N=None

**O=Oyster, P=Pipeline, R=Real Estate

Basin	Strategy	Agency	Project	DNR	EPA	NRCS	NMFS	COE	FWS	AAG	Score	\$M Cost Range	O&M*	Issues**
ME	14/20	EPA	South White Lake Shore Protection from Will's Point to Humble Canal North of Pecan Island (Rock Only)											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	3	3	4	2	2	4	3	15.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	6	3	4	3	8	4	4	4.2			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	2	1	1	1	2	1	1				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	3	3	4	3	4	4	4				
			3. Structural Framework	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	16.0			
			4. Infrastructure	3	2	2	1	3	3	4	10.4			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	2	3	4	3	3	3	2	11.2			
6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	3	4	4	4	3	4	11.4						
			total	69	63	76	57	70	69	71	68.2	10 - 20	H	
ME	14/20	EPA	South White Lake Shore Protection from Will's Point to Humble Canal North of Pecan Island (Rock and Plantings)											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	4	3	4	3	3	4	3	17.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	6	3	4	3	8	4	4	4.2			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	2	1	1	1	2	1	1				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	3	3	4	3	4	4	4				
			3. Structural Framework	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	16.0			
			4. Infrastructure	3	2	2	1	3	3	4	10.4			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	2	3	4	3	3	3	2	11.2			
6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	3	4	4	4	3	4	11.4						
			total	74	63	76	62	75	69	71	70.2	10 - 20	H	
ME	14/20	EPA	South White Lake Shore Protection from Will's Point to Humble Canal North of Pecan Island (Rock and Marsh Creation)											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	4	3	4	3	3	4	4	18.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	6	4	4	4	8	4	4	4.4			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	2	1	1	1	2	1	1				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	3	4	4	4	4	4	4				
			3. Structural Framework	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	16.0			
			4. Infrastructure	3	2	2	1	3	3	4	10.4			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	2	2	4	3	3	3	2	10.4			
6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	12.0						
			total	74	60	76	63	75	72	76	71.2	10 - 20	H	
ME	14/20	COE	Southeast White Lake Shore Protection from Schooner Bayou Canal to Humble Canal (Rock Only)											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	3	3	4	2	2	4	3	15.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	6	3	4	3	8	4	4	4.2			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	2	1	1	1	2	1	1				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	3	3	4	3	4	4	4				
			3. Structural Framework	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	16.0			
			4. Infrastructure	2	1	2	1	1	2	3	6.4			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	2	3	4	3	3	3	2	11.2			
6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	3	4	4	4	3	4	11.4						
			total	65	59	76	57	62	65	67	64.2	5 - 10	H	

*H=High, L=Low, N=None

**O=Oyster, P=Pipeline, R=Real Estate

Basin	Strategy	Agency	Project	DNR	EPA	NRCS	NMFS	COE	FWS	AAG	Score	\$M Cost Range	O&M*	Issues**
ME	14/20	COE	Southeast White Lake Shore Protection from Schooner Bayou Canal to Humble Canal (Rock and Plantings)											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	4	3	4	3	3	4	3	17.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	6	3	4	3	8	4	4	4.2			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	2	1	1	1	2	1	1				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	3	3	4	3	4	4	4				
			3. Structural Framework	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	16.0			
			4. Infrastructure	2	1	2	1	1	2	3	6.4			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	3	3	4	3	3	3	2	12.0			
6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	3	4	4	4	3	4	11.4						
			total	74	59	76	62	67	65	67	67.0	10 - 20	H	
ME	14/20	COE	Southeast White Lake Shore Protection from Schooner Bayou Canal to Humble Canal (Rock and Marsh Creation)											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	4	3	4	3	3	4	4	18.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	6	4	4	4	8	4	4	4.4			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	2	1	1	1	2	1	1				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	3	4	4	4	4	4	4				
			3. Structural Framework	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	16.0			
			4. Infrastructure	2	1	2	1	1	2	3	6.4			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	3	2	4	3	3	3	2	11.2			
6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	12.0						
			total	74	56	76	63	67	68	72	68.0	10 - 20	H	
ME	14/20	NRCS	Grand Lake Shoreline Stabilization - Superior Canal to Catfish Point (Rock only)											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	4	3	4	2	2	4	3	16.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	6	3	3	3	8	4	8	4.8			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	2	1	1	1	2	2	2				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	3	3	3	3	4	2	4				
			3. Structural Framework	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	16.0			
			4. Infrastructure	2	1	2	1	2	2	2	7.2			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	3	3	4	3	3	3	1	12.0			
6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	3	4	4	4	3	4	11.4						
			total	74	59	75	57	66	65	63	67.4	10 - 20	H	
ME	14/20	NRCS	Grand Lake Shoreline Stabilization - Superior Canal to Catfish Point (Rock and plantings)											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	4	3	4	3	3	4	3	17.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	6	3	4	3	8	4	8	5.0			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	2	1	1	1	2	2	2				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	3	3	4	3	4	2	4				
			3. Structural Framework	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	16.0			
			4. Infrastructure	2	1	2	1	2	2	2	7.2			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	3	3	4	3	3	3	1	12.0			
6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	3	4	4	4	3	4	11.4						
			total	74	59	76	62	71	65	63	68.6	10 - 20	H	

*H=High, L=Low, N=None

**O=Oyster, P=Pipeline, R=Real Estate

Basin	Strategy	Agency	Project	DNR	EPA	NRCS	NMFS	COE	FWS	AAG	Score	\$M Cost Range	O&M*	Issues**
ME	14/20	NRCS	Grand Lake Shoreline Stabilization - Superior Canal to Catfish Point (Rock and Marsh Creation)											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	4	3	4	3	3	4	4	18.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	6	4	4	4	8	4	8	5.2			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	2	1	1	1	2	2	2				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	3	4	4	4	4	2	4				
			3. Structural Framework	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	16.0			
			4. Infrastructure	2	1	2	1	2	2	2	7.2			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	3	2	4	3	3	3	1	11.2			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	12.0			
			total	74	56	76	63	71	68	68	69.6	10 - 20	H	
ME	14/20	FWS	Grand Lake Shore Protection at Lacassine Point (Rock only)											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	3	3	4	2	2	4	3	15.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	6	3	4	3	8	4	4	4.2			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	2	1	1	1	2	1	1				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	3	3	4	3	4	4	4				
			3. Structural Framework	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	16.0			
			4. Infrastructure	3	1	2	1	4	3	2	8.8			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	2	3	4	3	3	4	2	12.0			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	3	4	4	4	3	4	11.4			
			total	69	59	76	57	74	73	63	67.4	5 - 10	H	
ME	14/20	FWS	Grand Lake Shore Protection at Lacassine Point (Rock and plantings)											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	4	3	4	3	3	4	3	17.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	6	3	4	3	8	4	4	4.2			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	2	1	1	1	2	1	1				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	3	3	4	3	4	4	4				
			3. Structural Framework	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	16.0			
			4. Infrastructure	3	1	2	1	4	3	2	8.8			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	2	3	4	3	3	4	2	12.0			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	3	4	4	4	3	4	11.4			
			total	74	59	76	62	79	73	63	69.4	5 - 10	H	
ME	14/20	FWS	Grand Lake Shore Protection at Lacassine Point (Rock and marsh creation)											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	4	3	4	3	3	4	4	18.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	6	4	4	4	8	6	4	4.8			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	2	1	1	1	2	2	1				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	3	4	4	4	4	3	4				
			3. Structural Framework	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	16.0			
			4. Infrastructure	3	1	2	1	4	3	2	8.8			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	2	2	4	3	3	4	2	11.2			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	12.0			
			total	74	56	76	63	79	78	68	70.8	5 - 10	H	

*H=High, L=Low, N=None

**O=Oyster, P=Pipeline, R=Real Estate

Basin	Strategy	Agency	Project	DNR	EPA	NRCS	NMFS	COE	FWS	AAG	Score	\$M Cost Range	O&M*	Issues**
ME	15	FWS	Mermentau Ship Channel to Beach Prong Gulf Shore Protection											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	3	3	4	2	2	4	3	15.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	4	6	4	4	8	8	3	5.2			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	2	2	1	2	2	2	1				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	2	3	4	2	4	4	3				
			3. Structural Framework	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	16.0			
			4. Infrastructure	3	2	2	1	2	3	1	8.0			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	3	3	4	3	3	3	4	12.8			
6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	3	4	4	1	2	4	10.2						
			total	71	66	76	58	57	70	66	67.2	20 - 50	H	P
ME	15	COE	Dewitt Canal to Rollover Bayou Gulf Shore Protection											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	3	3	3	2	3	4	3	15.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	6	6	6	4	8	4	3	5.2			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	3	2	2	2	2	1	1				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	2	3	3	2	4	4	3				
			3. Structural Framework	4	4	4	4	4	2	2	14.4			
			4. Infrastructure	4	1	2	1	1	2	1	5.6			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	3	3	4	3	3	3	4	12.8			
6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	3	4	4	1	2	4	10.2						
			total	77	62	73	58	58	58	58	63.2	10 - 20	H	P
ME	15	EPA	Rockefeller Refuge Gulf Shoreline Stabilization: Beach Prong to Joseph's Harbor (Continuous Breakwater)											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	4	3	4	2	2	4	4	17.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	6	6	8	6	8	4	8	6.8			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	2	2	2	2	2	1	2				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	3	3	4	3	4	4	4				
			3. Structural Framework	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	16.0			
			4. Infrastructure	4	1	2	3	1	2	1	7.2			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	1	3	4	3	2	3	2	10.4			
6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	3	4	4	1	4	4	11.4						
			total	74	62	80	68	49	68	68	68.8	20 - 50	H	P
ME	15	EPA	Rockefeller Refuge Gulf Shoreline Stabilization: Beach Prong to Joseph's Harbor (Segmented Breakwater)											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	3	3	3	2	2	4	3	14.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	6	6	6	4	6	4	6	5.6			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	2	2	2	2	2	1	2				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	3	3	3	2	3	4	3				
			3. Structural Framework	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	16.0			
			4. Infrastructure	4	1	2	3	1	2	1	7.2			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	3	3	4	3	3	4	4	13.6			
6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	3	4	4	1	4	4	11.4						
			total	77	62	73	66	51	72	69	67.8	20 - 50	H	P

*H=High, L=Low, N=None

**O=Oyster, P=Pipeline, R=Real Estate

Basin	Strategy	Agency	Project	DNR	EPA	NRCS	NMFS	COE	FWS	AAG	Score	\$M Cost Range	O&M*	Issues**
ME	15	NMFS	Armor the Mouth of Joseph's Harbor on Rockefeller Refuge											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	3	3	4	2	2	4	1	14.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	3	3	4	2	4	4	2	3.2			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	1	1	1	1	2	1	2				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	3	3	4	2	2	4	1				
			3. Structural Framework	4	4	4	4	4	3	1	15.2			
			4. Infrastructure	3	1	2	1	2	2	1	6.4			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	2	3	4	3	3	3	4	12.8			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	3	4	4	1	4	4	11.4			
			total	66	59	76	56	53	68	43	63.0	2 - 5	H	
ME	15	DNR	Gulf Shoreline Protection from Joseph's Harbor to Rollover Bayou											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	3	3	4	2	3	4	3	16.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	6	6	8	4	8	8	6	6.8			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	2	2	2	2	2	2	2				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	3	3	4	2	4	4	3				
			3. Structural Framework	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	16.0			
			4. Infrastructure	4	1	2	1	2	2	1	6.4			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	3	2	4	3	2	3	4	12.0			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	3	4	4	4	2	4	11.4			
			total	77	58	80	58	67	66	69	68.6	20 - 50	H	P
ME	15	NRCS	Stabilize Gulf Shoreline from Mermentau Ship Channel to Hackberry Beach											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	3	3	4	2	2	4	3	15.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	6	3	4	2	4	4	2	3.4			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	3	1	1	1	1	1	1				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	2	3	4	2	4	4	2				
			3. Structural Framework	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	16.0			
			4. Infrastructure	4	2	2	1	2	3	1	8.0			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	3	3	4	3	3	3	4	12.8			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	3	4	4	1	3	4	10.8			
			total	77	63	76	56	53	69	65	66.0	10 - 20	H	

*H=High, L=Low, N=None

**O=Oyster, P=Pipeline, R=Real Estate

Basin	Strategy	Agency	Project	DNR	EPA	NRCS	NMFS	COE	FWS	AAG	Score	\$M Cost Range	O&M*	Issues**
C/S	18	EPA	Calcasieu Pass Jetty Gap											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	4	3	4	2	0	3	3	15.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	4	2	4	2	1	4	3	3.0			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	2	1	1	1	1	1	1				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	2	2	4	2	1	4	3				
			3. Structural Framework	4	4	4	4	1	3	4	15.2			
			4. Infrastructure	3	2	1	3	0	3	3	9.6			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	4	4	0	4	4	4	4	16.0			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	3	4	4	1	4	4	11.4			
			total	80	66	56	68	24	71	74	70.2	5 - 10	L	
C/S	18	FWS	Calcasieu Pass - East Jetty Dedicated Dredging											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	2	3	4	2	2	3	3	13.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	4	2	4	1	2	4	3	3.0			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	2	1	1	1	1	1	1				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	2	2	4	1	2	4	3				
			3. Structural Framework	2	4	4	4	3	3	4	14.4			
			4. Infrastructure	1	2	1	3	3	3	4	9.6			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	4	4	0	4	4	4	4	16.0			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	4	3	4	4	1	4	4	11.4			
			total	54	66	56	67	55	71	78	67.4	5 - 10	N	
C/S	12	NRCS	Hydrologic Restoration East of Sabine Lake											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	3	1	4	2	3	3	3	14.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	8	2	12	4	4	6	8	6.0			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	4	2	4	4	4	3	4				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	2	1	3	1	1	2	2				
			3. Structural Framework	4	1	3	3	2	3	3	11.2			
			4. Infrastructure	3	1	2	1	2	2	1	6.4			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	2	1	4	1	2	2	2	7.2			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	3	2	4	2	2	3	4	8.4			
			total	68	25	80	40	49	58	59	53.2	10 - 20	H	
C/S	12	NRCS	Hydrologic Restoration East of Sabine Lake (without terraces)											
			1. Wetland Elevation - Sustainability	3	1	4	2	2	3	2	12.0			
			2. Ecosystem Influence Area X Loss Rate Reduction	10	2	8	4	4	6	4	5.2			
			2a. Ecosystem Influence Area	5	2	4	4	4	3	4				
			2b. Loss Rate Reduction	2	1	2	1	1	2	1				
			3. Structural Framework	4	1	3	3	2	3	3	11.2			
			4. Infrastructure	3	1	2	1	2	2	1	6.4			
			5. Organism and Materials Linkages	2	1	4	1	2	2	2	7.2			
			6. Coast 2050 Habitat Objectives	3	2	4	2	2	3	4	8.4			
			total	70	25	76	40	44	58	50	50.4	10 - 20	H	

*H=High, L=Low, N=None

**O=Oyster, P=Pipeline, R=Real Estate